

R. E. Sigmon Vice President - Regulatory Affairs 201 E. Fourth St., 102 - 320 P. O. Box 2301 Cincinnati, Ohio 45201 Phone: (513) 397-1260

February 8, 1994

RECEIVED

FEB - 8 1994

Mr. William F. Caton, Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of:

Simplification of the Depreciation Prescription Process

CC Docket No. 92-296

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed for filing is the original and four copies of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company's Reply Comments in the above captioned proceeding.

Please date stamp and return the enclosed duplicate copy of this letter as acknowledgement of its receipt. Questions regarding this filing should be directed to Mrs. Lynda Breen at the above address or by telephone on (513) 397-1265.

Sincerely,

Richard T. Findlay

For Vice President

No. of Copies rec'd_____List ABCDE

FEB - 8 1994

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY	N
(

In the Matter of)
Simulification of the Domesoistica) CC Docket No. 92-296 /
Simplification of the Depreciation Prescription Process)

REPLY COMMENTS OF CINCINNATI BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

On December 6, 1993 Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("CBT") filed a Petition for Clarification or, in the Alternative, Reconsideration (hereinafter, the "Petition") of the Commission's October 20, 1993 Order in the above-captioned proceeding (hereinafter, the "Simplification Order"). Several other parties filed similar petitions on the same date. In its Petition, CBT asked the Commission to clarify that the same modified procedures adopted for price cap carriers will apply to local exchange carriers (LECs) subject to Optional Incentive Regulation (OIR). The Petition also provided that, in the event the Commission declines to issue such a clarification, CBT (in the alternative) seeks reconsideration of the Simplification Order.

On January 24, 1994 several parties filed comments in opposition to the various petitions for reconsideration and/or clarification of the Simplification Order. Of all the

Simplification of the Depreciation Prescription Process, CC Docket No. 92-296, Report and Order, (FCC 93-452), released October 20, 1993.

² CBT Petition, at p. 1.

³ <u>Id.</u>, at p. 2.

parties filing such comments, however, no one opposed the clarification sought by CBT's Petition. CBT submits that this lack of opposition provides further evidence that its request for clarification is reasonable and should be granted.

In addition, since filing its Petition CBT has become subject to OIR. Its OIR filing was approved by the Commission, and the rates proposed therein took effect on January 15, 1994. As an OIR carrier, CBT has no incentive to arbitrarily increase depreciation expenses. As with price cap regulation, depreciation expense is now an *endogenous* cost for CBT. Therefore, the direct relationship between depreciation expenses and rates to consumers, which exists under rate of return regulation where depreciation expenses are given *exogenous* treatment, has in any event been eliminated under OIR. Consequently, the rationale given by the Commission for excluding rate of return LECs from the modified procedures adopted in the *Simplification Order* is not applicable to OIR carriers. In recognition of this fact, the *Simplification Order* should be clarified in accordance with CBT's Petition.

Respectfully submitted,

FROST & JACOBS

Rv

Thomas E. Taylor (CAW)

Christopher J. Wilson

2500 PNC Center 201 East Fifth Street

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513) 651-6800

Attorneys for Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company

Dated: February 8, 1994

Certificate of Service

I, Lynda M. Breen, do here by certify on this 8th day of February, 1994, that I have caused a copy of the foregoing Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company's Reply Comments, to be mailed via first class United States Mail, postage prepaid, to the persons on the attached Service List.

Lynda M. Breen

Mr. William F. Caton, Acting Sec'y. * Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

International Transcription Service * 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 246 Washington, D.C. 20036

Fatina Franklin *
Chief, Depreciation Rates Branch
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257
Washington, D.C. 20554

Judith Nitsche *
Tariff Review Division
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 518
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kathleen Levitz *
Acting Chief Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 500
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kenneth Moran *
Chief Accounting & Audits Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Room 812
Washington, D.C. 20036

Paul Rodgers General Counsel NARUC 1102 ICC Building Post Office Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044 NARUC Charles D. Gray Assistant General Counsel 1102 ICC Building Post Office Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044

James Bradford Ramsay Deputy Asst. Gen. Counsel NARUC 1102 ICC Building Post Office Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044

AT&T
Mark C. Rosenblum
Robert J. McKee
Peter H. Jacoby
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 3252G1
Basking Ridge, New Jersey
Washington, D.C. 07920

MCI TELECOMMUN. CORP. Elizabeth Dickerson Manager Federal Reg. 1801 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, D. C. 20006

Frank W. Lloyd
Kecia Boney
Mintz, Levin, Cohn,
Ferris, Glovsky and
Popeo, P.C.
701 Penssylvania Ave, NW
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

California Cable Televeison Assoc. Alan J. Gardner Vice President, Regulatory Affairs 4341 Piedmont Avenue Post Box 11080 Oakland, California 94611

via Hand Delivery *